



Annex: VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS ON ENVI COMMITTEE DRAFT IPP REPORT
Brussels, 31 March 2004

Amendment proposed by MEP		Description	Comment	Voting recommendation ¹
1	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Compares functions of ecosystems with industrial processes		---
2	Breyer, Schörling	Fully internalise costs for damage to human health and the environment into product prices	Implementation would result into much bureaucracy without additional economic or environmental benefit.	NN
3	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Drop recital F	Proposed recital F would introduce an undefined concept of natural processes and require production process to get in line with natural processes	YYY
4	Breyer, Schörling	Concerns recital F; elaborates on processes not in line with natural processes. See 3	Would be introducing a undefined concept of natural processes (who decides what national processes are?)	NNN
5	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Concerns recital F. See 3	Would introduce ill defined concept of natural processes	NNN
6	Breyer, Schörling	Extends description of “different materials”		---
7	Breyer, Schörling	Phase out of substances	Would lead to a general substance ban, which would bring limitation in product development and consequently limit innovation capacities	NNN
8	Breyer, Schörling	Calls for adaptation of full economic and legal framework.	Would open door to extended command and control legislation and consequently not tie in with the objective of achieving environmental improvement by integrating and using business experience.	NNN
9	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Calls for coordination of existing instruments of environmental policy	Would strengthen coherence and transparency of existing instruments	YYY
10	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Scientific study on internalising external cost to accompany concept of market driven approach		---

¹ YYY: Industry fully endorses the amendment; YY: Industry supports the amendment; Y: Industry recommends support of the amendment; NNN: Industry fully rejects the amendment; NN: Industry rejects the amendment; N: Industry recommends rejection of the amendment; ---: no particular recommendation and/or opinion

11	Breyer, Schörling	Stringent time frame. Market prices to reflect true cost of production and consumption in the very near future	Would make IPP to a conveyor of command and control legislation	NN
12	Breyer, Schörling	Sting against the importance of assessing impact on business when deciding on environmental policies	Would shortcut economic pillar of sustainable development	NN
13	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Promote IPP on international level	As IPP is product oriented, international dimension should be respected from the beginning	Y
14	El Khadraoui	Reduced VAT for products bearing eco label	Inherently discriminating and arbitrarily restricting. No close link to environmentally friendly products	NNN
15	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Information flow, coordination of instruments		---
16	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Drop paragraph 2 (COM to present an IPP framework directive shortly)	IPP should coordinate existing instruments and measures. It is a bottom up process that should use business experience.	YYY
17	Breyer, Schörling	COM to present an IPP framework directive by December 2005	See comments to 16	NNN
18	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Calls for concrete targets on how to improve consistency and coherence in product related environment policy	Would strengthen importance of using IPP as a means to ensure coherence and transparency	YY
19	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Primary attention to be on product design	While we support the reference to LCT to be at the core, focus on product design is inappropriate as other stages of the life cycle could be more important for a given product group	N
20	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Business to be structured along biological lines	“Biological lines” is an undefined concept	NNN
21, 22	Florenz, Schnellhardt, Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Delete paragraph 3b	Separation and reconditioning mustn’t necessarily provide a maximum environmental benefit	YYY
23	Breyer, Schörling	Adds specific product requirements to paragraph 3b.	IPP should not prescribe technical solutions	NNN
24	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Understanding consumption patterns	Preference for amendment 25	---
25	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Sustainable use of resources	Clarifies concept	Y
26	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Delete biodegradable packaging materials	IPP should not prescribe technical solutions	YYY
27	Breyer, Schörling	More specific requirements	Preference for amendment 26	NNN
28	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Generalities on product design	Focus on product design is inappropriate as other stages of the life cycle could be more important for a given product group	NNN
29	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Delete paragraph 3e	We support deletion of paragraph 3e.	YY
30	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Development of separation techniques	Separation and reconditioning mustn’t necessarily provide a maximum environmental benefit	NNN
31	Breyer, Schörling	Hazardous substances added	Preference for amendment 29; ban of substances should follow a risk, not hazard based approach	NNN

32	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Reference to the planned REACH system		---
33	Breyer, Schörling	Rewording of existing text on chemicals	Ban of substances should follow a risk, not hazard based approach	NN
34	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Reduction of impact during use	Superfluous, as life cycle thinking will identify area of biggest potential for improvement	N
35	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Specific promotion of ICT, miniaturisation etc.	Solutions should not be prescribed at this stage	NN
36	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Maximum involvement of stakeholders		---
37	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Drop paragraph 4	Would avoid fixing environmental objectives and targets that could conflict with existing environmental policy (e.g.: 6 th EAP)	YY
38	Breyer, Schörling	Not efficiency improvement but absolute reductions	See comment on amendment 37	NN
39	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Adds air pollution to list	See comment on amendment 37	NN
40	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Calls for a framework	See comment on amendment 37	NN
41	Breyer, Schörling, Davies	Calls for clear targets	See comment on amendment 37	NN
42	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Supports coherence in regulation and facilitates sustainable development		YYY
43	Breyer, Schörling	Calls for implementation of legal and economic framework, product registers etc.	IPP is to be understood as bottom up concept that should use business experience, not as a ticket for increasing bureaucracy and environmental legislation.	NNN
44	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Specifies priorities more clearly	Improves understanding	YY
45	Breyer, Schörling	Calls for measures to promote sustainable consumption		---
46	El Khadraoui	Calls for a directive on producer responsibility	IPP is to be understood as bottom up concept that should use business experience, not as a ticket for increasing bureaucracy and environmental legislation	NNN
47	Lange	Coordinate labels, management systems, public procurement etc.	Helps ensuring coherence	YY
48	Lange	Transfer of knowledge and information on IPP to consumers		---
49	Breyer, Schörling	Talks about limitations of life cycle assessments	Should not reference to LCA which should not become a mandatory tool given its limitations and complexity	N
50	Lange	IPP to be considered in all proposals for legislation		---
51	El Khadraoui	Integrate life cycle thinking into education of engineers	Support measure to make IPP work in practice	YY
52	Lange	Evaluate existing legislation with respect to IPP	Improves coherence	YY

53	Breyer, Schörling	Calls for mandatory minimum design obligations	Increases bureaucracy and environmental legislation with doubtful benefit for the environment	NNN
54	Breyer, Schörling, Davies	Calls for a legislative proposal on responsibilities of manufacturers to provide environmental lifecycle data	Increases bureaucracy and environmental legislation with doubtful benefit for the environment.	NNN
55	Breyer, Schörling	Calls for a directive on environmental soundness of products	Increases bureaucracy and environmental legislation with doubtful benefit for the environment	NNN
56	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Delete paragraph 9	Deletion safeguards appropriate flexibility in public procurement	YYY
57	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Calls for mandatory life cycle data	Increases bureaucracy and environmental legislation with doubtful benefit for the environment.	NN
58	El Khadraoui	VAT reduction for eco-labelled products, re-used products and services	Inherently discriminating and arbitrarily restricting. No close link to environmentally friendly products	NNN
59	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Eliminate subsidies that antagonise IPP; Implement « Polluter Pays Principle »		---
60	Florenz, Schnellhardt	Strengthens market driven approach and concept of internalising external cost	Could help key elements of IPP to be properly weighted and understood	Y
61	Breyer, Schörling	Shift from products to services	Will make it less attractive to manufacture products within the EU. Services are already covered by the IPP-concept. Increasing service aspects may be one way of improving environmental performance of products but it depends on the situation. IPP is not to prescribe solutions but to give guidance.	NN
62	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Calls for proposal for the revision of the New Approach	Revision of New Approach is underway	N
63	Breyer, Schörling	Calls on the Commission and on Member States to make available sufficient resources to implement IPP	Implications?	NN
64	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Recognise critical role of marketing, finance and insurance sectors	Confusing	N
65	Breyer, Schörling	Public access to environmental information to motivate manufacturers	Motivation of manufacturers to produce environment friendly products does not depend on environmental information.	N
66	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	Development of appropriate business models		---
67	Breyer, Schörling, Davies	Standards for re-usable and biodegradable packaging	Limited focus on “re-usable and biodegradable packaging” would direct IPP towards specific design solutions.	NN
68	Wijkman, Bowis, Garcia-Orcoyen	New study on how IPP tools and instruments interact	Added value doubtful	N
69	Breyer, Schörling, Davies	Commission to establish working groups and timetable	Work is well under way (e.g. EUP).	NNN
70	El Khadraoui	Promote LCA and ecodesign to developing countries		---